

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENT	ror	ATTO	DRNEY DOCKET NO.
08/917,4	80 08/26/	97 WAKAYAMA		S	R-8767
_		PM82/0605	\neg	EXAMINER	
	WESTERLUND LFRED STREE	& POWELL, P.C.		DINH, T ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDR	IA VA 22314	-3011		3644	21
				DATE MAILED:	06/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	дрысанця)					
Advisory Action	08/917,480	Wakayama					
Advisory Adden	Examiner	Art Unit					
	T.Dinh	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ation. A proper re th places the app	iication in				
<u>-</u>	EPLY [check only a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR of whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period mailing date of the final rejection.	vo months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (continues to run from the mailing date of the od for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	e final rejection, 5 from the					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the distance of the final rejection on the final rejection of the final rejection.	tee. The appropriate of the final Office action; ction, even if timely file	or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	t the appeal.	A control Dates				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees.	n the timely submission of a Noti	ce of Appeal and	Appeal Brief				
3. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:						
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search.	(see NOTE belov	v);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note	e below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected cl	aims.				
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely fi	led amendment				
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §	for reconsideration has been cons See Continuation Sheet	sidered but does	NOT place the				
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which	were newly				
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached writt	en explanation, it	f any):				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on a)☐has b)☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.							
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
11. Other:	•	o T. Gorde	~~				
	CHAR	les t. Jordan					
	SUPERVISOR	ry patent examil	NER -				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office	TECHNOL	OGY CENTER 360	0				

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection under prior still stands since the prior arts anticipate the claims..